UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,751	02/03/2004	Norihito Hatakeda	Q79547	8924
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/04/200 <b>ON. PLLC</b>	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	BRIER, JEFFERY A		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/769,751	HATAKEDA, NORIHITO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffery A. Brier	2628		

	Jeffery A. Brier	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavioal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: The changes to claims 4 and 5 raise new in the same of the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje ssues. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	TE below); ducing or simplifying the cted claims. 33(a)).	ne issues for
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	·		,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13.  ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The argument concerning the Torborg reference regarding claim 1 is not persuasive because Torborg discusses the final result is the display of an image and Torborg covers the claimed "specifically identifies" since during rendering the display list has location identifying information, refer to pages 361-362 under the heading display image generation. For similar reasons the argument regarding claim 2 is not persuasive.

The arguments concerning the 35 USC 101 rejection of claims 8 and 15 have been fully considered, however, these claims do cover signals since claims are read in light of the specification but limitations are not read into the claims. Thus, the claimed "computer-readable medium for use in a computer and storing a program" covers the transmission medium between the server and the computer which is signals which were found to be non-statutory in In Re Nuijten. In re Nuijten, 84 USPQ2d 1495 (Fed. Cir. 2007) and In re Nuijten, 85 USPQ2d 1927 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

The Examiner in charge of Examining this application has changed to Primary Examiner Jeffery A. Brier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7656. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 to 4:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (571) 272-7664. The fax phone Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jeffery A. Brier/ Primary Examiner, Division 2628